

## NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 July 18

### Published in final edited form as:

Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol.; 3(5): . doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a005033.

## **Molecular Architecture and Function of Matrix Adhesions**

#### **Benjamin Geiger**

Department of Molecular Cell Biology, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel

#### Kenneth M. Yamada

Laboratory of Cell and Developmental Biology, National Institute of Craniofacial and Dental Research, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892, USA

## Abstract

Cell adhesions mediate important bidirectional interactions between cells and the extracellular matrix. They provide an interactive interface between the extracellular chemical and physical environment and the cellular scaffolding and signaling machinery. This dynamic, reciprocal regulation of intracellular processes and the matrix is mediated by membrane receptors such as the integrins, as well as many other components that comprise the adhesome. Adhesome constituents assemble themselves into different types of cell adhesion structures that vary in molecular complexity and change over time. These cell adhesions play crucial roles in cell migration, proliferation, and determination of cell fate.

## Introduction

With the emergence of metazoan life ~600 million years ago, new biological mechanisms arose during the evolution of multicellular organisms with a defined body plan. These mechanisms of cell adhesion are a fundamental feature of all metazoans, from sponges to humans; they enable cells to attach to each other or to an extracellular matrix (ECM), cementing them together and organizing them into a coherent whole. The formation of adhesions and the regulation of their dynamics are crucial for embryogenesis, immune cell function, and wound repair, but they also contribute to disease, including cancer invasion and metastasis, or immune disorders (Hay 1991; Hynes 2002; Berrier and Yamada 2007; Alberts et al. 2008; Mory et al. 2008; Dubash et al. 2009; Manevich-Mendelson et al. 2009; Svensson et al. 2009; Wolfenson et al. 2009a). Adhesive interactions can occur with remarkable temporal and spatial precision. As illustrated in Figure 1, they not only link cells together into functional tissues and organs, but they also convey to the adhering cells accurate positional information concerning their cellular and extracellular environment. This information can, in turn, affect all facets of the cell's life - its proliferation, differentiation, and fate. Besides responding to the matrix, cell adhesions can actively remodel and restructure the ECM, driving a reciprocal, bidirectional interaction between the cell and its surrounding matrix. These two fundamental aspects of cell-ECM adhesion - physical/ structural roles and environmental sensing/signaling, as well as the dynamic molecular interrelationships between them – will be the primary subjects of this chapter.

We will also describe the functional molecular architecture of cell-matrix adhesions, highlighting the structure-function relationships between the numerous components of cell adhesions that mediate or modulate numerous cell adhesive, migratory, and regulatory processes. We will discuss the mechanisms underlying the scaffolding and sensing processes generated at integrin-mediated adhesions, considering them along two major multi-scale

 $<sup>\</sup>label{eq:correspondence: Benjamin Geiger (benny.geiger@weizmann.ac.il) Kenneth M. Yamada (kenneth.yamada@nih.gov) .$ 

conceptual trajectories: molecular complexity and time – that is, a hierarchy of complexity that spans the range from molecules to multi-molecular complexes in mature adhesions, as well as the temporal progression of structures during the assembly and maturation of matrix adhesions, from initial cell-matrix recognition to the formation, maturation, and reorganization of cytoskeleton-associated matrix adhesions.

## Molecular and structural diversity of the extracellular matrix

The ECM serves as a substrate to which cells attach via cell-matrix adhesions, but it is also initially constructed and remodeled by such adhesions (Hay 1991; Alberts et al. 2008). The ECM is highly diverse, ranging from loose connective tissue to densely packed tendons and sheets of basement membrane.

#### **Chemical composition**

Depending on the type of matrix, the components of ECMs can vary widely. For example, fascia and tendons contain high levels of collagen I with various minor components, whereas basement membranes contain substantial amounts of collagen IV, laminin, perlecan, and other components (see chapters by Olsen, Ricard-Blum, and Yurchenco). The molecular composition and the organization of the ECM's constituent molecules play major roles in the responses of cells to their local matrix microenvironment. Of particular interest in this respect are the specific associations of multiple growth factors (e.g., fibroblast growth factors, transforming growth factors, heparin-binding epidermal growth factor, and others) with the matrix, and their capacity to locally stimulate the adherent cells (Gospodarowicz et al. 1980; Hay 1991; Hynes 2009) and the chapters in this series by Sheppard and Munger, and Esko). These findings suggest that signaling from the ECM can be triggered by two major mechanisms: the activation of intracellular signaling complexes through their recruitment to the adhesion site, and direct stimulation of specific growth factor receptors by ECM-immobilized growth factors.

#### Dimensionality

The "dimensionality" of each ECM is another key contributor to cell-matrix function. Cells adhering to standard tissue culture surfaces and basement membranes often flatten and adhere tightly to the two-dimensional (2D) surfaces. In contrast, cells in connective tissue or inside organs are generally embedded within a three-dimensional (3D) environment (Elsdale and Bard 1972; Cukierman et al. 2001; Nelson and Bissell 2006). In a strongly fibrillar 3D environment, however, cells appear to recognize and form distinctive adhesions with "onedimensional" fibrils or linear patterns of ECM (Doyle et al. 2009). Cells can also respond to the micro- or even nano-topography of a surface to which they adhere (Curtis and Wilkinson 1997; Cukierman et al. 2001; Geiger et al. 2001; Baharloo et al. 2005; Grossner-Schreiber et al. 2006; Vogel et al. 2006; Geblinger et al. 2010). Furthermore, the spacing between individual ECM ligand molecules (e,g., the Arg-Gly-Asp-containing peptide that binds to integrin) plays a key role in the adhesion process. Specifically, effective assembly of cytoskeleton-bound focal adhesions depends on an inter-ligand spacing < 60-140 nanometers (Massia and Hubbell 1991; Cavalcanti-Adam et al. 2007; Geiger et al. 2009). The physical dimensionality of different microenvironments can play critical roles in biological activities, regulating cell morphology, rates of adhesion, migration, proliferation, and biosynthesis (Nelson and Bissell 2006; Yamada and Cukierman 2007; Geiger et al. 2009: Grinnell and Petroll 2010).

#### **Mechanical properties**

Besides composition and dimensionality, a third key element of an ECM involves its mechanical properties. Several important features are rigidity (elasticity or compliance),

mechanical heterogeneity in terms of local porosity and crosslinking, and overall anisotropy. For example, human tumors are often surrounded by a matrix with high local concentrations of collagen encircling the tumor, and the tissue is more rigid and oriented, or isotropic, than adjacent connective tissues – a response of the surrounding tissue termed desmoplasia (Beacham and Cukierman 2005; Nelson and Bissell 2006; Butcher et al. 2009; Klein et al. 2009). Such mechanical properties of a matrix can have major regulatory effects on the choice of stem cell fate, proliferation rate, pattern of gene expression, migration, and tumor progression ((Engler et al. 2007; Discher et al. 2009; Levental et al. 2009); also see chapter by Schwartz). In fact, rigidity sensing can be a cell-type specific property, and cells (e.g., fibroblasts, myocytes, or neurons) grow optimally on adherent surfaces that match the cells' intrinsic elasticity (Discher et al. 2005). Interestingly, fibroblasts isolated from sites of desmoplasia close to tumors can retain their ability to produce an ECM with altered matrix morphology, and with distinctive effects on cells even in the absence of the tumor (Amatangelo et al. 2005); such activated fibroblasts have been implicated in epithelial cell cancer progression.

## Molecular diversity of matrix adhesion receptors

In this chapter, we place major emphasis on integrin-mediated adhesions, but it is important to note that there are multiple adhesive interactions mediated by non-integrin receptors. Some of these molecular interactions are discussed below, but we first discuss a special class of adhesion-related structures known as the pericellular matrix, which is highly enriched with glycosaminoglycans such as hyaluronan (Zimmerman et al. 2002). This cell-bound matrix can be quite thick (up to several micrometers in certain cell types such as chondrocytes), covering the entire cell surface. The structure and function of this matrix layer are elusive due to its transparency and high water content, but its prominence in cells, and its thickness relative to the dimensions of transmembrane integrins, suggest that the first contacts between cells and the ECM involve this pericellular matrix. The formation of initial cell-substrate adhesions was monitored in living cells by total internal reflection microscopy, visualizing the pericellular matrix by labeling with fluorescent quantum dots. The quantum dots marking the matrix accumulate near the substrate well before the establishment of focal adhesions. Furthermore, removal of this coat by hyaluronidase alters these early cell-matrix adhesive interactions (Cohen et al. 2006).

The integrin family of matrix protein receptors plays central roles in the formation, maturation, and function of a variety of cell adhesions. Integrins are heterodimers with one  $\alpha$  and one  $\beta$  subunit that bind with a specificity governed by each of the subunits to ECM molecules that include collagens, laminins, fibronectin, vitronectin, and fibrin (see chapter by Hynes). The types of integrins in adhesions can switch as they mature, which may be related to distinct functions. For example,  $\alpha\nu\beta3$  and  $\alpha5\beta1$  are involved in forming the initial contacts required for adhesion formation and signaling:  $\alpha 5\beta 1$  can be activated by force to mediate firm adhesion to synergistic sites in fibronectin; e.g., when fibronectin is immobilized (Friedland et al. 2009), but then  $\alpha v\beta 3$  remains to provide firm anchorage in focal adhesions (Pankov et al. 2000; Zamir et al. 2000). On the other hand, α5β1is initially present in focal adhesions formed on a fibronectin substrate, along with  $\alpha v\beta 3$ , but is lost from these adhesions unless the fibronectin is physically immobilized, in which case it forms exaggerated a 5\beta1-containing focal adhesions (Katz et al. 2000; Pankov et al. 2000). Interestingly, if the same cells adhere to fibronectin or vitronectin via  $a5\beta1$  or  $av\beta3$ , respectively, they display very different patterns of cell spreading and FA distribution on each matrix substrate. Cells adhering to fibronectin spread much more than those adhering to vitronectin, and their FAs are located throughout the ventral membrane, compared to the more peripheral distribution of FAs in cells on vitronectin (Figure 2).

Naturally, given the rich variety of integrin  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  chains, there are multiple integrin heterodimers with both distinct and overlapping binding functions; this diversity includes the differential capacity of specific integrins to recruit cytoplasmic molecular partners and interact with the actin cytoskeleton. Elucidating these differences in integrin-specific adhesion complexes will provide many opportunities for identifying the basis of ECM ligand-specific responses of cells; for example, in collagen-, fibronectin-, or laminin-rich environments.

#### Forms of integrin-mediated adhesions

A particularly noteworthy feature of integrin-based adhesions, even within the same cells, is their ability to form morphologically, molecularly, and dynamically diverse types of adhesion structures. Besides the "dimensionality" conferred by the particular topography of the extracellular matrix, integrin-mediated interactions with the ECM can trigger the formation of different forms of matrix adhesions, which can partially overlap or segregate into mutually exclusive adhesions, as illustrated in Figure 3. As discussed below, some of these adhesions can evolve or mature into other forms of matrix adhesions.

Distinct types of cell adhesions include: (i) classical focal adhesions (Figure 3A-E), typically generated by interaction with a flat, rigid surface; such adhesions are usually several square micrometers in size, located at the ends of actin stress fibers, and stimulated by the small GTPase RhoA (Dubash et al. 2009; Geiger et al. 2009). Actin filaments at these sites were shown to be co-linear with extracellular fibronectin fibrils, suggesting that the two are tightly mechanically linked (Singer 1979); (ii) dot-like nascent adhesions and focal complexes (Figure 3C-E), short-lived adhesions that can transform into focal adhesions, both formed along lamellipodial protrusions, and the latter induced by Rac1 (Nobes and Hall 1995; Rottner et al. 1999; Choi et al. 2008); (iii) elongated fibrillar adhesions (Figure 3B), enriched under the central areas of cells and formed mainly along matrix fibrils such as fibronectin (Chen et al. 1985; Damsky et al. 1985; Zamir et al. 2000); (iv) podosomes or invadopodia (Figure 3F-G), small, ring-like adhesions formed around an actin bundle, apparently anchoring it to the membrane, or thin membranous protrusions associated with an actin-cortactin core, respectively (Spinardi and Marchisio 2006; Block et al. 2008; Gimona et al. 2008; Caldieri et al. 2009; Poincloux et al. 2009). Podosomes are prominent in different monocyte derivatives (e.g., osteoclasts, macrophages, and dendritic cells). They can assemble into large, belt-like superstructures, and are implicated in matrix-modulating activities (e.g., bone resorption by osteoclasts (Geblinger et al. 2010) and matrix invasion by a variety of cancer cells (Gimona et al. 2008). Invadopodia are particularly characteristic of invasive, transformed cells that invade by degrading the ECM. Although podosomes and invadopodia can both mediate cell invasion into the ECM, they appear to differ in the localization of vinculin, and in their membrane dynamics (Artym et al. 2010).

Although cells frequently display well-formed adhesions *in vitro*, rapidly migrating cells can sometimes display few distinct adhesions, except for diffuse adhesive zones in which broad expanses of plasma membrane approach to within 30 nm of the substrate (Izzard and Lochner 1976; Couchman and Rees 1979; Huang et al. 2003; Estrada-Bernal et al. 2009). These **close contacts** or **close adhesions** appear to be relatively diffusely organized, and do not form the tight adhesions to the migratory surface that would impede rapid migration (Couchman and Rees 1979; Huang et al. 2003; Estrada-Bernal et al. 2009).

#### Adhesions in three-dimensional environments

Cells in 3D environments create a variety of adhesions. Classically, the dense plaques of smooth muscle (Small et al. 1986; Wang et al. 1998) form firm, integrin-based adhesions linking the actin cytoskeleton to the ECM. In addition, endothelial cells at sites of high

hydrodynamic stress in blood vessels form structures similar to FAs (Ishida et al. 1997). In 3D matrices from which cells have been detergent-extracted, and in the loose craniofacial matrix in which embryonic cells migrate *in vivo*, long, slender **3D-matrix adhesions** appear to represent an *in vivo* composite of focal and fibrillar adhesion components (Cukierman et al. 2001). The full diversity of adhesion morphologies, and their functions *in vivo* in a wide range of tissues, remain to be characterized.

#### Non-integrin ECM receptors

Cell surface receptors for ECM molecules other than integrins can also play major roles in cell-matrix interactions. DDR1 (discoidin domain receptor 1) and DDR2 are tyrosine kinase receptors that bind to native collagens and can play integrin-independent roles in signaling, cell adhesion, proliferation, and matrix remodeling (Vogel et al. 2006; Heino et al. 2009). CD44 and RHAMM bind the widely distributed glycosaminoglycan hyaluronan and can stimulate cell adhesion, proliferation, migration, invasion, and matrix assembly (Turley et al. 2002; Pure and Assoian 2009). Even though it is a transmembrane adhesion receptor, CD44 can be cleaved from the cell surface to become a component of the ECM (Cichy and Pure 2003). In addition, integrin-mediated interactions may be preceded by adhesions via other receptors such as selectins, which mediate the "rolling adhesion" of leukocytes on endothelial cells prior to firm, integrin-mediated adhesion (Tedder et al. 1995; Vestweber and Blanks 1999; Ley and Kansas 2004).

#### Molecular and structural complexity of the integrin adhesome

#### The adhesome

Over the past several decades, surveys of the molecular constituents of cell-matrix adhesions – particularly integrin-mediated adhesions – indicate that they are composed of multiple molecules, which together participate in both the physical/structural and sensing/signaling activities of these adhesion structures. Based on their localization in FAs, interactions with other adhesion components, or involvement in the regulation of the organization and function of these sites, the entire collective of molecules associated with integrin adhesions was termed the integrin "adhesome" (http://www.adhesome.org; (Zaidel-Bar et al. 2007a). To date, the adhesome network (Zaidel-Bar and Geiger 2010) includes 180 components, based on immunolocalization studies, binding assays, RNA interference, and yeast two-hybrid analyses (Figure 4). Particularly overwhelming is the large number of direct interactions (>700) reported among these various components, which can be divided into "scaffolding interactions" with direct binding between specific components, and "regulatory interactions" involving specific modification of one component by another component (e.g., phosphorylation and GTPase activation).

#### Scaffolding within adhesions

The scaffolding interactions within cell adhesions that connect the many components to one another, and eventually link actin filaments to the cytoplasmic tails of integrin receptors, are indirect (Figure 4). They involve two families of cytoplasmic molecules: "actin-associated molecules" and "adaptor proteins." The former proteins interact predominantly with actin and regulate its organization; only a few of these molecules (e.g., tensin, filamin, talin, plectin, and  $\alpha$ -actinin) are reported to interact directly with integrins. The adaptor molecules interact with these actin-associated components, with each other, and with an integrin, forming a complex network of mechanical scaffolding links between actin and the membrane at the adhesion site (Geiger et al. 2001; Zaidel-Bar et al. 2007a).

#### **Regulation of adhesions**

As the adhesome network grows in complexity and connectivity, it is increasingly apparent that in order to accommodate the need for both robustness and dynamic plasticity at the adhesion site, many of these interactions need to be regulated. The signaling components of the adhesome are prime candidates for locally regulating adhesome connectivity, as well as for generating signals that globally affect cellular processes that include cell division, migration, and differentiation. These signaling molecules include multiple tyrosine- and serine/threonine-specific protein kinases and phosphatases, Rho-family GTPases, and their regulators (GAPs and GEFs). Analysis of the nature of adhesome connectivity indicates that the signaling components bind to the adhesion sites via multiple docking sites present on the scaffolding network; they, in turn, modify this network and thus modulate its connectivity (Figure 5).

Various types of post-translational signaling modifications are known to directly affect the adhesome, though many others that regulate the functional interplay between scaffolding and signaling functions are likely to be found (Zaidel-Bar and Geiger 2010). For example, Crk, which has three major interaction domains [an Src homology 2 (SH2) domain and two SH3 domains], can interact with at least 16 different proteins, half of which can interact with the SH3 domains via their proline-rich sequences, and half interact with the SH2 domain via phosphorylated tyrosine residues. The SH2-domain partners are mostly other adaptor proteins, whereas the SH3-domain partners are mostly guanine-nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs) for GTPases. Because only some of the potential binding partners are expressed in any given cell type, the actual partner 'switchboard' might be simpler than that derived from the adhesome database. However, many of these switches are real, and the choice of a particular interaction partner can affect the structure and function of the adhesion site.

Furthermore, different subpopulations of a given protein may interact with different binding partners in parallel, in the same cell, and possibly within the same adhesion site, compounding the complexity of the functional networking of adhesome components. Crk is an excellent example of a conformational switch. Switching in Crk and other molecules can be triggered by changes in temperature, pH, or ion concentration; by the binding of another protein; or, as with Crk, by a post-translational modification such as phosphorylation. Some adhesome proteins, such as vinculin, talin, focal adhesion kinase, Src, and TES (testisderived transcript), can exist in either a closed (inactive) or open (active) conformation (Garvalov et al. 2003; Critchley 2004; Mitra and Schlaepfer 2006). In the closed conformation, which is usually maintained by specific interactions between the N and Cterminal domains, important activity modules are concealed within the folded protein. The closed-to-open conformational switch involves a dramatic change in protein folding. Other conformational switches invoke smaller, but no less crucial, changes in amino acid positioning. For example, the extracellular domains of integrins are activated to bind ligands following specific interactions between their cytoplasmic tails and talin [(Shimaoka et al. 2002); also see chapter by Campbell and Humphries]. In short, the adhesome interaction network is highly dynamic, and is regulated by the cellular signaling machinery.

#### Functional molecular architecture of adhesions

The molecular architecture of integrin adhesions is currently under intense scrutiny. Initially, researchers used conventional electron microscopy (Figure 6A) combined with various optical microscopy approaches such as interference reflection microscopy for visualizing the adhesion area (Figure 6B) in order to characterize the internal structure of integrin adhesions. However, apart from detection of actin filaments and an amorphous electron-dense "plaque" associated with the membrane, no structural information was obtained (e.g., (Abercrombie et al. 1970; Heaysman 1973; Dunn and Jones 1998);Weston 1982).

Subsequent studies using different sample preparation and imaging strategies (e.g., wholemount negative staining, wet-cleavage, and high-resolution scanning electron microscopy) have provided little additional structural information, most likely due to sample dehydration. Whole-cell cryo-electron tomography (cryoET) and powerful super-resolution optical microscopy constitute more recent approaches to understanding the molecular organization of FAs. Correlated microscopy, combining fluorescence microscopy with CryoET (Figure 6C-F), demonstrates that FAs are laminated structures, containing arrays of membranebound, doughnut-shaped particles ~25 nm in diameter, spaced at ~45 nm intervals, which are associated with a bundle of aligned actin filaments via short interconnecting filaments in close association with vinculin (Patla et al. 2010). Photoactivatable light microscopy (PALM) shows that in focal adhesions, vinculin displays a scattered pattern, similar to the distribution of the doughnut-shaped particles (Betzig et al. 2006). Further research using high-resolution microscopy with structural and molecular approaches will continue to clarify the molecular structure of the adhesion sites, and the roles of the associated particles.

#### Assembly and remodeling of integrin adhesions

The various forms of integrin-based adhesions shown in Figure 3 are dynamic structures that can undergo maturation or interconversion.

#### Early adhesions and the molecular clutch

The earliest integrin-containing structures detectable by light microscopy are the 'focal complexes' or 'nascent adhesions' (Nobes and Hall 1995; Geiger et al. 2001; Alexandrova et al. 2008; Choi et al. 2008) formed close to the leading edge of migrating cells. In this region of the cell, one can distinguish between the lamellipodium – a ribbon-like, flat protrusion located at the periphery of a moving or spreading cell containing a network of actin filaments, and the lamella – a flat, broad, sheet-like cellular extension that is internal (medial) to lamellipodia. A fan-shaped lamella is a prominent feature that characterizes the leading edge of a cell that is undergoing locomotion on a flat surface. Actin networks that contain myosin IIA are the principal structures in lamellae. These early nascent adhesions and focal complexes are roughly 100 nm in diameter and positioned at the interface between the lamellipodium and the matrix; they are composed of only a few hundred protein molecules, including integrins, talin, and paxillin (Zaidel-Bar et al. 2003; Ponti et al. 2004; Giannone et al. 2007; Alexandrova et al. 2008; Choi et al. 2008). Within the lamelliopodium, actin polymerizes and flows centripetally at a rate of a few micrometers per minute (Ponti et al. 2004; Vallotton et al. 2004; Alexandrova et al. 2008), rubbing against these newly formed adhesion complexes, and apparently reinforcing integrin-cytoskeleton bonds. In particular, the binding of vinculin to talin triggers the clustering of activated integrins (Humphries et al. 2007) and, through the vinculin tail, their association with actin, thereby strengthening the actin-integrin link (Galbraith et al. 2002). These forces appear to drive the growth of the nascent adhesions into larger focal complexes, a process that can be blocked by low doses of cytochalasin D to inhibit the centripetal actin flow (Alexandrova et al. 2008; Choi et al. 2008). This system is thought to function as a "molecular clutch" to mediate cell migration by linking integrins and adhesion scaffolding molecules to the moving actin cytoskeleton (see chapter by Horwitz).

At the lamellipodial-lamellar interface – usually located ~  $2-4 \mu m$  from the leading edge of the cell – the density of the actin filament network is substantially lower, and its architecture and protein composition are altered: in particular, Arp2/3 complexes are absent, while tropomyosin and myosin II are prominent (Ponti et al. 2004; Vallotton et al. 2004). The actin network in the lamella continues to flow centripetally, but at a lower speed than in the lamellipodium. Further growth of the young adhesion, still in the lamellipodial domain,

depends not only on continued actin polymerization, but also on myosin II-driven contraction of the lamellipodium (Giannone et al. 2007).

#### Role of force in the development of focal adhesions

The transformation of focal complexes at the cell edge into stress fiber-bound focal adhesions is associated with changes in protein composition (e.g., the recruitment of zyxin), tyrosine phosphorylation (e.g., of paxillin), and dynamics (e.g., enhanced exchange rate) (Ballestrem et al. 2001; Cluzel et al. 2005; Ballestrem et al. 2006; Zaidel-Bar et al. 2007b; Zamir et al. 2008). This transition appears to occur at the boundary between the lamellipodium and the lamella (Ponti et al. 2004; Alexandrova et al. 2008; Choi et al. 2008).

As the lamellipodium and lamella advance during cell migration, the growing adhesions remain attached to the substrate and undergo a maturation process characterized by an increase in their length and total area, as well as association with the termini of actin stress fibers. This association with the contractile actomyosin machinery is critical for the growth, maintenance, and stability of these adhesions. Traction force microscopy indicates that the cell is pulling on the matrix at these adhesion sites, producing a local stress of ~ 5nN/cm<sup>2</sup> (Balaban et al. 2001). If this force is increased (e.g., by direct mechanical probing, shear flow, or stretching of the substratum), the attached adhesion grows; conversely, relaxation of tension (e.g., by inhibitors of actomyosin or Rho-kinase) leads to its dissociation. The actual forces at individual cell adhesions can be measured using different forms of force traction microscopy, as well as with force biosensors using fluorescence detection methods (Grashoff et al. 2010).

#### **Fibrillar adhesions**

An additional step in the maturation of integrin adhesions, particularly in fibroblasts adhering to a fibronectin matrix, is the formation of "fibrillar adhesions" (Hynes and Destree 1978; Chen and Singer 1982; Chen et al. 1985; Zamir et al. 2000). These elongated matrix contacts are particularly prominent in central regions of cultured fibroblasts, and their formation is associated with fibronectin fibrillogenesis; the  $\alpha$ 5 $\beta$ 1 integrin, along with tensin, appear to translocate away centripetally from focal adhesions, potentially providing forces that promote the formation of fibronectin fibrils (Pankov et al. 2000; Zamir et al. 2000; Baharloo et al. 2005). Similar to the formation of focal complexes and focal adhesions, matrix reorganization and formation of fibrillar adhesions are force-dependent processes (Zhong et al. 1998). These functions of local force highlight the mechanosensitive nature of integrin adhesions, from the earliest to the most mature.

#### Adhesion dynamics

Adhesion dynamics are particularly important in cell migration (see chapter by Horwitz). There appear to be multiple mechanisms underlying the molecular dynamics within cell adhesions. The association of signaling molecules can be relatively transient in newly formed, integrin-based adhesions, while scaffolding molecules are more stable in their association, even if dynamic at a single-molecule level according to FRAP analyses (Miyamoto et al. 1996; Wolfenson et al. 2009b). Microtubules play active roles in regulating focal adhesion dynamics (Rinnerthaler et al. 1988; Small and Kaverina 2003). Microtubules are thought to target focal adhesions and to destabilize them, e.g., potentially by locally releasing tension (Broussard et al. 2008). Additional mechanisms of turnover or removal of adhesion components include cleavage by the enzyme calpain (Zhong et al. 1998). Analyses of the mechanisms and regulation of adhesion dynamics will continue to be a fruitful area of research for many years to come (see chapter by Horwitz).

## ECM sensing via integrin adhesions

Integrin adhesions have dual physiological functions – a physical, structural role that is critical for tissue and organ morphogenesis, and a sensing and signaling role whereby integrin-mediated interactions with the ECM activate cascades of signaling events. These signals act both locally and globally, regulating local molecular interactions within the adhesion site and modulating its scaffolding activity, as well as affecting overall cellular physiology, including cell proliferation, transcriptional activity, migration, and survival. The mechanisms underlying adhesion-mediated signaling remain to be fully elucidated. Unlike the signaling events initiated by receptor tyrosine kinases that involve ligand-induced activation of receptor-mediated phosphorylation of diverse cellular targets (Alberts et al. 2008), adhesion-mediated signaling is believed to involve the adhesion-dependent clustering of adhesome-associated signaling molecules and their downstream substrates, thus initiating the signaling process (Miyamoto et al. 1995; Schwartz et al. 1995; Katz et al. 2002; Zaidel-Bar and Geiger 2010). A remarkable feature of cell adhesions is the large size of their cytoplasmic scaffold, which enables extensive cross-talk between the localized signaling molecules and their diverse targets, resulting in cascading activation of multiple signal transduction pathways. Furthermore, these resident signaling molecules can also modify and modulate the scaffold itself to which they are bound, thereby affecting its structure and its signaling activities. The signaling cascades initiated or modulated by integrins include nearly all well-known signal transduction pathways, including signaling through focal adhesion kinase (FAK), various Src family kinases, MAP kinases, PKCs, and phosphatidylinositol lipids (Clark and Brugge 1995; Schwartz et al. 1995; Giancotti and Ruoslahti 1999; Hynes 2002; Schwartz and Ginsberg 2002; Berrier and Yamada 2007; Abram and Lowell 2009; Harburger and Calderwood 2009; Zaidel-Bar and Geiger 2010).

Integrins, ECM molecules, and growth factors function cooperatively to integrate extracellular biochemical and physical inputs in signaling pathways that regulate a host of cellular functions including cell survival, proliferation, and differentiation (ffrench-Constant and Colognato 2004; Hynes 2009; Streuli and Akhtar 2009). Although the specific mechanisms that initiate integrin signaling are still being explored, two mechanisms in integrin-based adhesions are (i) the targeting of signaling molecules by integrins to the plasma membrane and (ii) the aggregation of kinases and their substrates as cell adhesions form and organize. For example, simple experimental targeting of certain proteins such as FAK or Rac1 to the plasma membrane can stimulate their phosphorylation or activity (Chan et al. 1994; Chao et al. 2010). Moreover, mimicking the aggregating effects of integrin clustering by direct experimental clustering of membrane-anchored FAK can trigger downstream MAP kinase activity (Katz et al. 2002), similar to the well-known mechanism of signaling activation by aggregation of receptor tyrosine kinases after they bind growth factors (Alberts et al. 2008). These integrin signaling processes are accompanied by reorganization of cytoskeletal components at cell adhesions, including the formation of actin stress fibers. These integrin-cytoskeletal processes are coordinated by complex signaling crosstalk involving FAK, Src family kinases, and various Rho family GTPases and their regulators (Dubash et al. 2009; Huveneers and Danen 2009).

#### Chemical sensing of the extracellular matrix and signaling

What types of matrix information are sensed by integrin adhesions to generate downstream signaling? The two major categories are chemical and physical cues. Cells sense the chemical properties of the matrix environment, particularly adhesive (e.g., fibronectin or vitronectin) and associated signaling molecules (e.g., heparin-binding EGF or fibroblast growth factors), encountered at the cell surface. As shown above (Figure 2), interactions with different matrices via distinct receptors can indeed trigger distinct cellular responses. In

addition, cells have extensive abilities to sense the physical state of the matrix, including its stiffness, topography, and ligand spacing.

Chemical sensing of the extracellular matrix microenvironment at cell adhesions involves a wide variety of receptors, which include integrin receptors for specific matrix molecules, non-integrin matrix protein receptors such as CD44, proteoglycans such as syndecan-4, and receptors for growth factors and cytokines (Hay 1991; Alberts et al. 2008) and see chapters by Esko, and Sheppard and Munger). These various receptors can function additively, synergistically, or antagonistically. Integrins can have specificity for a particular class of ECM molecule such as collagen or laminin (see chapter by Hynes). They can function together, or in cooperation with, molecules such as syndecans to promote the formation of adhesions; for example, certain cells require both integrin and syndecan-4 function to form focal adhesions (Saoncella et al. 1999)(Mostafavi-Pour et al. 2003). Integrins can also cross-inhibit the adhesive function of another integrin, in a process termed integrin cross-talk or transdominant inhibition (Blystone et al. 1994; Diaz-Gonzalez et al. 1996; Blystone et al. 1999; Gonzalez et al. 2010).

Integrins and growth factor receptors are present together in cell adhesions, and a number of collaborative interactions occur between integrins and growth factor receptors, with adhesions functioning as cell signaling centers (Schwartz et al. 1995; Miyamoto et al. 1996). Because of the extraordinary complexity of the adhesome (Figures 4 and 5), innumerable cross-talk interactions are likely between the many signaling and scaffolding interactions in cell adhesions. These interactions will continue to be elucidated by high-throughput analyses of cell adhesions and adhesion-dependent processes such as cell migration (Simpson et al. 2008; Winograd-Katz et al. 2009). For example, proteomics analysis of cell adhesions dependent on different integrins identify both shared and distinctive components with interesting potential functions; in one case, intersections between integrin, Rac, and Arf signaling networks were identified (Humphries et al. 2009).

#### Physical sensing of the extracellular matrix

Besides chemical sensing, cells can be exquisitely sensitive to differences in the physical state of the matrix, such as density, spacing, rigidity, and orientation. The mechanisms of adhesion-mediated sensing of the physical properties of the matrix are still under intensive investigation. It is known that the assembly of focal adhesions and induction of actin organization require certain threshold densities of adhesion ligands. As analyzed using precisely nano-patterned adhesive surfaces, the assembly of focal adhesions requires a ligand spacing of no more than ~ 60-140 nm (Massia and Hubbell 1991; Cavalcanti-Adam et al. 2007; Geiger et al. 2009). Moreover, major differences are found between adhesion sites formed on compliant surfaces (sub-kPa to a few kPa), compared with those formed on rigid surfaces (hundreds of kPa to a few mPa) (Discher et al. 2005; Discher et al. 2009). Matrix geometry and topography are additional surface features that are known to play a role in guiding the formation of matrix adhesions (Cukierman et al. 2001; Geiger et al. 2001; Baharloo et al. 2005; Grossner-Schreiber et al. 2006), regulating their dynamic properties (Geblinger et al. 2010) and modulating their signaling activity. It is interesting to note that this "multi-sensing" property of living cells for chemical and physical information implies that beyond the local sensing of individual matrix features, integrin adhesions are capable of integrating complex information (multiple physical, mechanical, and biochemical cell surface inputs) and developing a coherent, integrated response. Understanding the workings of this integration mechanism is a major challenge in the cell adhesion field.

#### A two-way dialogue between cells and the extracellular matrix

The matrix is synthesized and organized by cells but, as discussed above, the matrix itself can reciprocally regulate cell behavior. The assembly of fibronectin- or collagen-based matrices, compared to basement membranes (e.g., see chapters by Schwarzbauer and Desimone, and Yurchenco), differ in terms of molecular components and cellular structures [e.g., fibrillar adhesions associated with fibronectin, versus fibripositors with collagen (Canty et al. 2004), versus other assembly mechanisms for basement membranes]. These processes share, however, the principle features of specific matrix molecule binding and spatial organization of the ECM by integrins or other matrix receptors. Similarly, reorganization of matrix molecules in wounds, and the transition from a provisional matrix containing fibrin and fibronectin to dense collagen in scars, also involves integrins and specific cell types [e.g., see (Clark 1996) and chapter by Watt]. Cell-type specificity of adhesion and biosynthesis – e.g., fibroblasts in collagenous matrices and epithelial cells on basement membranes – can help to maintain overall tissue organization and differentiation.

A further major role of the ECM, however, is its function as a reservoir for growth factors, cytokines, and other extracellular factors. For example, both the glycoprotein and glycosaminoglycan (especially heparan sulfate) components of the matrix can enhance signaling, adhesive functioning, and remodeling (Vaday et al. 2001; Hynes 2009). The bidirectional relationships of cells and the ECM are particularly clear in the regulation of cell migration directionality [(Petrie et al. 2009); and see chapter by Horwitz]. Physical properties of the matrix conveyed through cell adhesions include sensing rigidity and orientation of fibrils. Stiffer matrices evoke larger focal adhesions and increased intracellular contractility, and cells will move towards areas of greater substrate stiffness, in a process termed durotaxis (Pelham and Wang 1997). In addition, however, cells can reorganize a random meshwork of collagen fibrils into oriented fibrils (Provenzano et al. 2006), which in turn promotes their migration along the reorganized fibrils. This propensity to migrate along oriented patterns such as fibrils or grooves is known as "contact guidance," which strongly promotes integrin-dependent migration along linearly patterned ECM and can involve unusually elongated cell adhesions (Cukierman et al. 2001; Provenzano et al. 2006; Doyle et al. 2009). Particularly dramatic switches in adhesion - from cell-cell adhesion to enhanced cell-ECM adhesion - can occur in epithelial-mesenchymal transitions (EMT) or weaker variants of this process, which appear to play important roles in embryonic cell migration, organ development, and tumor cell invasion (Kalluri and Weinberg 2009; Thiery et al. 2009; Onodera et al. 2010).

# Conclusions – Integrin adhesions as "interactive information interfaces" between cells and their environment

Integrins and other cell surface receptors provide external links to the ECM, but their functions at the cell-ECM interface require the participation of a multi-protein complex of adhesome components consisting of both scaffolding and signaling molecules. In a way, the adhesion interfaces can be envisioned as two intertwined multi-protein scaffolds (the extracellular ECM and the adhesome network) that reorganize each other under the control of the adhesome signaling machinery. This "design principle" is schematically illustrated in Figure 1 of this article, and the underlying molecular mechanisms are outlined in this as well as other chapters of this volume. It provides an interactive interface for the bidirectional exchange of information and functional alterations at the cell surface.

The temporal functional plasticity of adhesion sites can be envisioned as the following sequence of events: (1) Initial encounter between the cell membrane and the ECM; (2) Integrative assessment of the ECM by the cellular adhesion machinery; (3) Local assembly

and activation of the adhesion-associated signaling system; (4) Modulation of the adhesome scaffolding network and the associated cytoskeleton, resulting in: (5a) Mechanical reorganization of the ECM (which may trigger another scaffolding-signaling cycle), and (5b) Activation of global adhesion-mediated signaling that can regulate cell proliferation, migration, survival, and differentiation.

An ongoing challenge in the field involves testing this model, substantiating it, and determining the role of specific molecules in the regulation and integration of the complex functions of cell adhesions. There are likely to be numerous variations on the theme of receptor-ECM binding, receptor-ligand aggregation, adhesion assembly and maturation, along with a changing cast of molecular constituents, depending on the cell type and the biological process or function involved. The successful integration of cell adhesion functions is essential for understanding normal development and ongoing tissue function, as well as mutations in the various adhesome components that can result in disease ranging from fetal death, to skin blistering, to bleeding disorders (e.g., see chapters by Hynes and Fässler). Besides such genetic diseases, altered cell adhesion might contribute to diseases such as cancer, skin disorders, and fibrosis (e.g., see chapter by Watt).

Major opportunities in the field will include identifying the specific set of adhesome structure-function relationships relevant to a particular function, such as cell migration, invasion, or disease, and then understanding their regulation by both intracellular and extracellular processes. This level of understanding will require complex systems-level approaches and computational modeling, which include not only the molecular components and their signal transduction relationships, but also a thorough knowledge of mechanotransduction principles and the bidirectional roles of local and global forces acting on cell adhesions. These conceptual and experimental challenges should provide fruitful opportunities for research in this area in the near future, and for many years to come.

#### Acknowledgments

BG is the incumbent of the Erwin Neter Professorial Chair in Cell and Tumor Biology. Studies addressing the adhesome network and mechanosensitivity were supported by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences grant for the Cell Migration Consortium (NIH grant no. U54GM64346); the Nanotechnology Center for Mechanics in Regenerative Medicine (part of the NIH Nanomedicine Development Center Network; NIH grant no. PN2 EY016586), the Mario Negri Institute for Pharmacological Research – Weizmann Institute of Science Exchange Program, and the Israel Science Foundation. KMY is supported by the Intramural Research Program of the National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research, National Institutes of Health. The authors would like to express their gratitude to Chen Luxenburg, Tova Volberg, Ronen Zaidel-Bar, and Baruch Zimerman for providing data presented in this article. The authors also wish to thank Barbara Morgenstern for excellent editorial assistance.

#### References

- Abercrombie M, Heaysman JE, Pegrum SM. The locomotion of fibroblasts in culture. I. Movements of the leading edge. Exp Cell Res. 1970; 59(3):393–398. [PubMed: 4907703]
- Abram CL, Lowell CA. The ins and outs of leukocyte integrin signaling. Annu Rev Immunol. 2009; 27:339–362. [PubMed: 19302044]
- Akiyama SK, Yamada SS, Chen WT, Yamada KM. Analysis of fibronectin receptor function with monoclonal antibodies: roles in cell adhesion, migration, matrix assembly, and cytoskeletal organization. J Cell Biol. 1989; 109(2):863–875. [PubMed: 2527241]
- Alberts, B.; Johnson, A.; Lewis, J.; Raff, M.; Roberts, K.; Walter, P. Molecular Biology of the Cell. Garland Science; New York: 2008.
- Alexandrova AY, Arnold K, Schaub S, Vasiliev JM, Meister JJ, Bershadsky AD, Verkhovsky AB. Comparative dynamics of retrograde actin flow and focal adhesions: Formation of nascent adhesions triggers transition from fast to slow flow. PLoS ONE. 2008; 3(9):e3234. [PubMed: 18800171]

- Amatangelo MD, Bassi DE, Klein-Szanto AJ, Cukierman E. Stroma-derived three-dimensional matrices are necessary and sufficient to promote desmoplastic differentiation of normal fibroblasts. Am J Pathol. 2005; 167(2):475–488. [PubMed: 16049333]
- Artym VV, Matsumoto K, Mueller SC, Yamada KM. Dynamic membrane remodeling at invadopodia differentiates invadopodia from podosomes. Eur J Cell Biol. 2010
- Baharloo B, Textor M, Brunette DM. Substratum roughness alters the growth, area, and focal adhesions of epithelial cells, and their proximity to titanium surfaces. J Biomed Mater Res A. 2005; 74(1):12–22. [PubMed: 15924301]
- Balaban NQ, Schwarz US, Riveline D, Goichberg P, Tzur G, Sabanay I, Mahalu D, Safran S, Bershadsky A, Addadi L, et al. Force and focal adhesion assembly: a close relationship studied using elastic micropatterned substrates. Nat Cell Biol. 2001; 3(5):466–472. [PubMed: 11331874]
- Ballestrem C, Erez N, Kirchner J, Kam Z, Bershadsky A, Geiger B. Molecular mapping of tyrosinephosphorylated proteins in focal adhesions using fluorescence resonance energy transfer. J Cell Sci. 2006; 119(Pt 5):866–875. [PubMed: 16478788]
- Ballestrem C, Hinz B, Imhof BA, Wehrle-Haller B. Marching at the front and dragging behind: differential alphaVbeta3-integrin turnover regulates focal adhesion behavior. J Cell Biol. 2001; 155(7):1319–1332. [PubMed: 11756480]
- Beacham DA, Cukierman E. Stromagenesis: the changing face of fibroblastic microenvironments during tumor progression. Semin Cancer Biol. 2005; 15(5):329–341. [PubMed: 15970443]
- Berrier AL, Yamada KM. Cell-matrix adhesion. J Cell Physiol. 2007; 213(3):565–573. [PubMed: 17680633]
- Betzig E, Patterson GH, Sougrat R, Lindwasser OW, Olenych S, Bonifacino JS, Davidson MW, Lippincott-Schwartz J, Hess HF. Imaging intracellular fluorescent proteins at nanometer resolution. Science. 2006; 313(5793):1642–1645. [PubMed: 16902090]
- Block MR, Badowski C, Millon-Fremillon A, Bouvard D, Bouin AP, Faurobert E, Gerber-Scokaert D, Planus E, Albiges-Rizo C. Podosome-type adhesions and focal adhesions, so alike yet so different. Eur J Cell Biol. 2008; 87(8-9):491–506. [PubMed: 18417250]
- Blystone SD, Graham IL, Lindberg FP, Brown EJ. Integrin alpha v beta 3 differentially regulates adhesive and phagocytic functions of the fibronectin receptor alpha 5 beta 1. J Cell Biol. 1994; 127(4):1129–1137. [PubMed: 7525603]
- Blystone SD, Slater SE, Williams MP, Crow MT, Brown EJ. A molecular mechanism of integrin crosstalk: alphavbeta3 suppression of calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II regulates alpha5beta1 function. J Cell Biol. 1999; 145(4):889–897. [PubMed: 10330414]
- Broussard JA, Webb DJ, Kaverina I. Asymmetric focal adhesion disassembly in motile cells. Curr Opin Cell Biol. 2008; 20(1):85–90. [PubMed: 18083360]
- Butcher DT, Alliston T, Weaver VM. A tense situation: forcing tumour progression. Nat Rev Cancer. 2009; 9(2):108–122. [PubMed: 19165226]
- Caldieri G, Ayala I, Attanasio F, Buccione R. Cell and molecular biology of invadopodia. Int Rev Cell Mol Biol. 2009; 275:1–34. [PubMed: 19491051]
- Canty EG, Lu Y, Meadows RS, Shaw MK, Holmes DF, Kadler KE. Coalignment of plasma membrane channels and protrusions (fibripositors) specifies the parallelism of tendon. J Cell Biol. 2004; 165(4):553–563. [PubMed: 15159420]
- Cavalcanti-Adam EA, Volberg T, Micoulet A, Kessler H, Geiger B, Spatz JP. Cell spreading and focal adhesion dynamics are regulated by spacing of integrin ligands. Biophys J. 2007; 92(8):2964– 2974. [PubMed: 17277192]
- Chan PY, Kanner SB, Whitney G, Aruffo A. A transmembrane-anchored chimeric focal adhesion kinase is constitutively activated and phosphorylated at tyrosine residues identical to pp125FAK. J Biol Chem. 1994; 269(32):20567–20574. [PubMed: 8051157]
- Chao WT, Daquinag AC, Ashcroft F, Kunz J. Type I PIPK-alpha regulates directed cell migration by modulating Rac1 plasma membrane targeting and activation. J Cell Biol. 2010; 190(2):247–262. [PubMed: 20660631]
- Chen WT, Hasegawa E, Hasegawa T, Weinstock C, Yamada KM. Development of cell surface linkage complexes in cultured fibroblasts. J Cell Biol. 1985; 100(4):1103–1114. [PubMed: 3884631]

- Chen WT, Singer SJ. Immunoelectron microscopic studies of the sites of cell-substratum and cell-cell contacts in cultured fibroblasts. J Cell Biol. 1982; 95(1):205–222. [PubMed: 6815205]
- Choi CK, Vicente-Manzanares M, Zareno J, Whitmore LA, Mogilner A, Horwitz AR. Actin and alpha-actinin orchestrate the assembly and maturation of nascent adhesions in a myosin II motorindependent manner. Nat Cell Biol. 2008; 10(9):1039–1050. [PubMed: 19160484]
- Cichy J, Pure E. The liberation of CD44. J Cell Biol. 2003; 161(5):839–843. [PubMed: 12796473]
- Clark EA, Brugge JS. Integrins and signal transduction pathways: the road taken. Science. 1995; 268(5208):233–239. [PubMed: 7716514]
- Clark, RAF., editor. The Molecular and Cellular Biology of Wound Repair. Plenum Press; New York: 1996.
- Cluzel C, Saltel F, Lussi J, Paulhe F, Imhof BA, Wehrle-Haller B. The mechanisms and dynamics of (alpha)v(beta)3 integrin clustering in living cells. J Cell Biol. 2005; 171(2):383–392. [PubMed: 16247034]
- Cohen DM, Kutscher B, Chen H, Murphy DB, Craig SW. A conformational switch in vinculin drives formation and dynamics of a talin-vinculin complex at focal adhesions. J Biol Chem. 2006; 281(23):16006–16015. [PubMed: 16608855]
- Couchman JR, Rees DA. The behaviour of fibroblasts migrating from chick heart explants: changes in adhesion, locomotion and growth, and in the distribution of actomyosin and fibronectin. J Cell Sci. 1979; 39:149–165. [PubMed: 575139]
- Critchley DR. Cytoskeletal proteins talin and vinculin in integrin-mediated adhesion. Biochem Soc Trans. 2004; 32(Pt 5):831–836. [PubMed: 15494027]
- Cukierman E, Pankov R, Stevens DR, Yamada KM. Taking cell-matrix adhesions to the third dimension. Science. 2001; 294(5547):1708–1712. [PubMed: 11721053]
- Curtis A, Wilkinson C. Topographical control of cells. Biomaterials. 1997; 18(24):1573–1583. [PubMed: 9613804]
- Damsky CH, Knudsen KA, Bradley D, Buck CA, Horwitz AF. Distribution of the cell substratum attachment (CSAT) antigen on myogenic and fibroblastic cells in culture. J Cell Biol. 1985; 100(5):1528–1539. [PubMed: 3921554]
- Diaz-Gonzalez F, Forsyth J, Steiner B, Ginsberg MH. Trans-dominant inhibition of integrin function. Mol Biol Cell. 1996; 7(12):1939–1951. [PubMed: 8970156]
- Discher DE, Janmey P, Wang YL. Tissue cells feel and respond to the stiffness of their substrate. Science. 2005; 310(5751):1139–1143. [PubMed: 16293750]
- Discher DE, Mooney DJ, Zandstra PW. Growth factors, matrices, and forces combine and control stem cells. Science. 2009; 324(5935):1673–1677. [PubMed: 19556500]
- Doyle AD, Wang FW, Matsumoto K, Yamada KM. One-dimensional topography underlies threedimensional fibrillar cell migration. J Cell Biol. 2009; 184(4):481–490. [PubMed: 19221195]
- Dubash AD, Menold MM, Samson T, Boulter E, Garcia-Mata R, Doughman R, Burridge K. Chapter 1. Focal adhesions: new angles on an old structure. Int Rev Cell Mol Biol. 2009; 277:1–65. [PubMed: 19766966]
- Dunn G, Jones G. Michael Abercrombie: the pioneer ethologist of cells. Trends Cell Biol. 1998; 8(3): 124–126. [PubMed: 9695823]
- Elsdale T, Bard J. Collagen substrata for studies on cell behavior. J Cell Biol. 1972; 54(3):626–637. [PubMed: 4339818]
- Engler AJ, Sweeney HL, Discher DE, Schwarzbauer JE. Extracellular matrix elasticity directs stem cell differentiation. J Musculoskelet Neuronal Interact. 2007; 7(4):335. [PubMed: 18094500]
- Estrada-Bernal A, Gatlin JC, Sunpaweravong S, Pfenninger KH. Dynamic adhesions and MARCKS in melanoma cells. J Cell Sci. 2009; 122(Pt 13):2300–2310. [PubMed: 19509053]
- ffrench-Constant C, Colognato H. Integrins: versatile integrators of extracellular signals. Trends Cell Biol. 2004; 14(12):678–686. [PubMed: 15564044]
- Friedland JC, Lee MH, Boettiger D. Mechanically activated integrin switch controls alpha5beta1 function. Science. 2009; 323(5914):642–644. [PubMed: 19179533]
- Galbraith CG, Yamada KM, Sheetz MP. The relationship between force and focal complex development. J Cell Biol. 2002; 159(4):695–705. [PubMed: 12446745]

- Garvalov BK, Higgins TE, Sutherland JD, Zettl M, Scaplehorn N, Kocher T, Piddini E, Griffiths G, Way M. The conformational state of Tes regulates its zyxin-dependent recruitment to focal adhesions. J Cell Biol. 2003; 161(1):33–39. [PubMed: 12695497]
- Geblinger D, Addadi L, Geiger B. Nano-topography sensing by osteoclasts. J Cell Sci. 2010; 123(Pt 9):1503–1510. [PubMed: 20375065]
- Geiger B, Bershadsky A, Pankov R, Yamada KM. Transmembrane crosstalk between the extracellular matrix and the cytoskeleton. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2001; 2(11):793–805. [PubMed: 11715046]
- Geiger B, Spatz JP, Bershadsky AD. Environmental sensing through focal adhesions. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2009; 10(1):21–33. [PubMed: 19197329]
- Giancotti FG, Ruoslahti E. Integrin signaling. Science. 1999; 285(5430):1028–1032. [PubMed: 10446041]
- Giannone G, Dubin-Thaler BJ, Rossier O, Cai Y, Chaga O, Jiang G, Beaver W, Dobereiner HG, Freund Y, Borisy G, et al. Lamellipodial actin mechanically links myosin activity with adhesionsite formation. Cell. 2007; 128(3):561–575. [PubMed: 17289574]
- Gimona M, Buccione R, Courtneidge SA, Linder S. Assembly and biological role of podosomes and invadopodia. Curr Opin Cell Biol. 2008; 20(2):235–241. [PubMed: 18337078]
- Gonzalez AM, Bhattacharya R, deHart GW, Jones JC. Transdominant regulation of integrin function: mechanisms of crosstalk. Cell Signal. 2010; 22(4):578–583. [PubMed: 19874888]
- Gospodarowicz D, Delgado D, Vlodavsky I. Permissive effect of the extracellular matrix on cell proliferation in vitro. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1980; 77(7):4094–4098. [PubMed: 6933458]
- Grashoff C, Hoffman BD, Brenner MD, Zhou R, Parsons M, Yang MT, McLean MA, Sligar SG, Chen CS, Ha T, et al. Measuring mechanical tension across vinculin reveals regulation of focal adhesion dynamics. Nature. 2010; 466(7303):263–266. [PubMed: 20613844]
- Grinnell F, Petroll WM. Cell Motility and Mechanics in Three-Dimensional Collagen Matrices. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol. 2010
- Grossner-Schreiber B, Herzog M, Hedderich J, Duck A, Hannig M, Griepentrog M. Focal adhesion contact formation by fibroblasts cultured on surface-modified dental implants: an in vitro study. Clin Oral Implants Res. 2006; 17(6):736–745. [PubMed: 17092235]
- Harburger DS, Calderwood DA. Integrin signalling at a glance. J Cell Sci. 2009; 122(Pt 2):159–163. [PubMed: 19118207]
- Hay, ED., editor. Cell Biology of Extracellular Matrix. Plenum Press; New York: 1991.
- Heaysman J. Early contacts between fibroblasts: An ultrastructural study. Exp Cell Res. 1973; 78(1): 71–78. [PubMed: 4570584]
- Heino J, Huhtala M, Kapyla J, Johnson MS. Evolution of collagen-based adhesion systems. Int J Biochem Cell Biol. 2009; 41(2):341–348. [PubMed: 18790075]
- Huang C, Rajfur Z, Borchers C, Schaller MD, Jacobson K. JNK phosphorylates paxillin and regulates cell migration. Nature. 2003; 424(6945):219–223. [PubMed: 12853963]
- Humphries JD, Byron A, Bass MD, Craig SE, Pinney JW, Knight D, Humphries MJ. Proteomic analysis of integrin-associated complexes identifies RCC2 as a dual regulator of Rac1 and Arf6. Sci Signal. 2009; 2(87):ra51. [PubMed: 19738201]
- Humphries JD, Wang P, Streuli C, Geiger B, Humphries MJ, Ballestrem C. Vinculin controls focal adhesion formation by direct interactions with talin and actin. J Cell Biol. 2007; 179(5):1043– 1057. [PubMed: 18056416]
- Huveneers S, Danen EH. Adhesion signaling crosstalk between integrins, Src and Rho. J Cell Sci. 2009; 122(Pt 8):1059–1069. [PubMed: 19339545]
- Hynes RO. Integrins: bidirectional, allosteric signaling machines. Cell. 2002; 110(6):673–687. [PubMed: 12297042]
- Hynes RO. The extracellular matrix: not just pretty fibrils. Science. 2009; 326(5957):1216–1219. [PubMed: 19965464]
- Hynes RO, Destree AT. Relationships between fibronectin (LETS protein) and actin. Cell. 1978; 15(3):875–886. [PubMed: 365353]

- Ishida T, Takahashi M, Corson MA, Berk BC. Fluid shear stress-mediated signal transduction: how do endothelial cells transduce mechanical force into biological responses? Ann N Y Acad Sci. 1997; 811:12–23. [PubMed: 9186580]
- Izzard CS, Lochner LR. Cell-to-substrate contacts in living fibroblasts: an interference reflexion study with an evaluation of the technique. J Cell Sci. 1976; 21(1):129–159. [PubMed: 932106]
- Kalluri R, Weinberg RA. The basics of epithelial-mesenchymal transition. J Clin Invest. 2009; 119(6): 1420–1428. [PubMed: 19487818]
- Katz BZ, Miyamoto S, Teramoto H, Zohar M, Krylov D, Vinson C, Gutkind JS, Yamada KM. Direct transmembrane clustering and cytoplasmic dimerization of focal adhesion kinase initiates its tyrosine phosphorylation. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2002; 1592(2):141–152. [PubMed: 12379477]
- Katz BZ, Zamir E, Bershadsky A, Kam Z, Yamada KM, Geiger B. Physical state of the extracellular matrix regulates the structure and molecular composition of cell-matrix adhesions. Mol Biol Cell. 2000; 11(3):1047–1060. [PubMed: 10712519]
- Klein EA, Yin L, Kothapalli D, Castagnino P, Byfield FJ, Xu T, Levental I, Hawthorne E, Janmey PA, Assoian RK. Cell-cycle control by physiological matrix elasticity and in vivo tissue stiffening. Curr Biol. 2009; 19(18):1511–1518. [PubMed: 19765988]
- Levental KR, Yu H, Kass L, Lakins JN, Egeblad M, Erler JT, Fong SF, Csiszar K, Giaccia A, Weninger W, et al. Matrix crosslinking forces tumor progression by enhancing integrin signaling. Cell. 2009; 139(5):891–906. [PubMed: 19931152]
- Ley K, Kansas GS. Selectins in T-cell recruitment to non-lymphoid tissues and sites of inflammation. Nat Rev Immunol. 2004; 4(5):325–335. [PubMed: 15122198]
- Manevich-Mendelson E, Feigelson SW, Pasvolsky R, Aker M, Grabovsky V, Shulman Z, Kilic SS, Rosenthal-Allieri MA, Ben-Dor S, Mory A, et al. Loss of Kindlin-3 in LAD-III eliminates LFA-1 but not VLA-4 adhesiveness developed under shear flow conditions. Blood. 2009; 114(11):2344– 2353. [PubMed: 19617577]
- Massia SP, Hubbell JA. An RGD spacing of 440 nm is sufficient for integrin alpha V beta 3-mediated fibroblast spreading and 140 nm for focal contact and stress fiber formation. J Cell Biol. 1991; 114(5):1089–1100. [PubMed: 1714913]
- Mitra SK, Schlaepfer DD. Integrin-regulated FAK-Src signaling in normal and cancer cells. Curr Opin Cell Biol. 2006; 18(5):516–523. [PubMed: 16919435]
- Miyamoto S, Teramoto H, Coso OA, Gutkind JS, Burbelo PD, Akiyama SK, Yamada KM. Integrin function: molecular hierarchies of cytoskeletal and signaling molecules. J Cell Biol. 1995; 131(3): 791–805. [PubMed: 7593197]
- Miyamoto S, Teramoto H, Gutkind JS, Yamada KM. Integrins can collaborate with growth factors for phosphorylation of receptor tyrosine kinases and MAP kinase activation: roles of integrin aggregation and occupancy of receptors. J Cell Biol. 1996; 135(6 Pt 1):1633–1642. [PubMed: 8978828]
- Mory A, Feigelson SW, Yarali N, Kilic SS, Bayhan GI, Gershoni-Baruch R, Etzioni A, Alon R. Kindlin-3: a new gene involved in the pathogenesis of LAD-III. Blood. 2008; 112(6):2591. [PubMed: 18779414]
- Mostafavi-Pour Z, Askari JA, Parkinson SJ, Parker PJ, Ng TT, Humphries MJ. Integrin-specific signaling pathways controlling focal adhesion formation and cell migration. J Cell Biol. 2003; 161(1):155–167. [PubMed: 12695503]
- Nelson CM, Bissell MJ. Of extracellular matrix, scaffolds, and signaling: tissue architecture regulates development, homeostasis, and cancer. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol. 2006; 22:287–309. [PubMed: 16824016]
- Nobes CD, Hall A. Rho, rac, and cdc42 GTPases regulate the assembly of multimolecular focal complexes associated with actin stress fibers, lamellipodia, and filopodia. Cell. 1995; 81(1):53–62. [PubMed: 7536630]
- Onodera T, Sakai T, Hsu JC, Matsumoto K, Chiorini JA, Yamada KM. Btbd7 regulates epithelial cell dynamics and branching morphogenesis. Science. 2010; 329(5991):562–565. [PubMed: 20671187]
- Pankov R, Cukierman E, Katz BZ, Matsumoto K, Lin DC, Lin S, Hahn C, Yamada KM. Integrin dynamics and matrix assembly: tensin-dependent translocation of alpha(5)beta(1) integrins

promotes early fibronectin fibrillogenesis. J Cell Biol. 2000; 148(5):1075–1090. [PubMed: 10704455]

- Patla I, Volberg T, Elad N, Hiershfeld-Warneken V, Grashoff C, Fassler R, Spatz JP, Geiger B, Medalia O. Dissecting the molecular architecture of integrin adhesion sites by cryo-electron tomography. Nat Cell Biol. 2010; 12(9):909–915. [PubMed: 20694000]
- Pelham RJ Jr. Wang Y. Cell locomotion and focal adhesions are regulated by substrate flexibility. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1997; 94(25):13661–13665. [PubMed: 9391082]
- Petrie RJ, Doyle AD, Yamada KM. Random versus directionally persistent cell migration. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2009; 10(8):538–549. [PubMed: 19603038]
- Poincloux R, Lizarraga F, Chavrier P. Matrix invasion by tumour cells: a focus on MT1-MMP trafficking to invadopodia. J Cell Sci. 2009; 122(Pt 17):3015–3024. [PubMed: 19692588]
- Ponti A, Machacek M, Gupton SL, Waterman-Storer CM, Danuser G. Two distinct actin networks drive the protrusion of migrating cells. Science. 2004; 305(5691):1782–1786. [PubMed: 15375270]
- Provenzano PP, Eliceiri KW, Campbell JM, Inman DR, White JG, Keely PJ. Collagen reorganization at the tumor-stromal interface facilitates local invasion. BMC Med. 2006; 4(1):38. [PubMed: 17190588]
- Pure E, Assoian RK. Rheostatic signaling by CD44 and hyaluronan. Cell Signal. 2009; 21(5):651–655. [PubMed: 19174187]
- Rinnerthaler G, Geiger B, Small JV. Contact formation during fibroblast locomotion: involvement of membrane ruffles and microtubules. J Cell Biol. 1988; 106(3):747–760. [PubMed: 3126193]
- Rottner K, Hall A, Small JV. Interplay between Rac and Rho in the control of substrate contact dynamics. Curr Biol. 1999; 9(12):640–648. [PubMed: 10375527]
- Saoncella S, Echtermeyer F, Denhez F, Nowlen JK, Mosher DF, Robinson SD, Hynes RO, Goetinck PF. Syndecan-4 signals cooperatively with integrins in a Rho-dependent manner in the assembly of focal adhesions and actin stress fibers. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1999; 96(6):2805–2810. [PubMed: 10077592]
- Schwartz MA, Ginsberg MH. Networks and crosstalk: integrin signalling spreads. Nat Cell Biol. 2002; 4(4):E65–68. [PubMed: 11944032]
- Schwartz MA, Schaller MD, Ginsberg MH. Integrins: emerging paradigms of signal transduction. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol. 1995; 11:549–599. [PubMed: 8689569]
- Shimaoka M, Takagi J, Springer TA. Conformational regulation of integrin structure and function. Annu Rev Biophys Biomol Struct. 2002; 31:485–516. [PubMed: 11988479]
- Simpson KJ, Selfors LM, Bui J, Reynolds A, Leake D, Khvorova A, Brugge JS. Identification of genes that regulate epithelial cell migration using an siRNA screening approach. Nat Cell Biol. 2008; 10(9):1027–1038. [PubMed: 19160483]
- Singer II. The fibronexus: a transmembrane association of fibronectin-containing fibers and bundles of 5 nm microfilaments in hamster and human fibroblasts. Cell. 1979; 16(3):675–685. [PubMed: 222466]
- Small JV, Furst DO, De Mey J. Localization of filamin in smooth muscle. J Cell Biol. 1986; 102(1): 210–220. [PubMed: 3510219]
- Small JV, Kaverina I. Microtubules meet substrate adhesions to arrange cell polarity. Curr Opin Cell Biol. 2003; 15(1):40–47. [PubMed: 12517702]
- Spinardi L, Marchisio PC. Podosomes as smart regulators of cellular adhesion. Eur J Cell Biol. 2006; 85(3-4):191–194. [PubMed: 16546561]
- Streuli CH, Akhtar N. Signal co-operation between integrins and other receptor systems. Biochem J. 2009; 418(3):491–506. [PubMed: 19228122]
- Svensson L, Howarth K, McDowall A, Patzak I, Evans R, Ussar S, Moser M, Metin A, Fried M, Tomlinson I, et al. Leukocyte adhesion deficiency-III is caused by mutations in KINDLIN3 affecting integrin activation. Nat Med. 2009; 15(3):306–312. [PubMed: 19234463]
- Tedder TF, Steeber DA, Chen A, Engel P. The selectins: vascular adhesion molecules. FASEB J. 1995; 9(10):866–873. [PubMed: 7542213]

- Thiery JP, Acloque H, Huang RY, Nieto MA. Epithelial-mesenchymal transitions in development and disease. Cell. 2009; 139(5):871–890. [PubMed: 19945376]
- Turley EA, Noble PW, Bourguignon LY. Signaling properties of hyaluronan receptors. J Biol Chem. 2002; 277(7):4589–4592. [PubMed: 11717317]
- Vaday GG, Franitza S, Schor H, Hecht I, Brill A, Cahalon L, Hershkoviz R, Lider O. Combinatorial signals by inflammatory cytokines and chemokines mediate leukocyte interactions with extracellular matrix. J Leukoc Biol. 2001; 69(6):885–892. [PubMed: 11404372]
- Vallotton P, Gupton SL, Waterman-Storer CM, Danuser G. Simultaneous mapping of filamentous actin flow and turnover in migrating cells by quantitative fluorescent speckle microscopy. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2004; 101(26):9660–9665. [PubMed: 15210979]
- Vestweber D, Blanks JE. Mechanisms that regulate the function of the selectins and their ligands. Physiol Rev. 1999; 79(1):181–213. [PubMed: 9922371]
- Vogel WF, Abdulhussein R, Ford CE. Sensing extracellular matrix: an update on discoidin domain receptor function. Cell Signal. 2006; 18(8):1108–1116. [PubMed: 16626936]
- Wang R, Stromer MH, Huiatt TW. Integrin expression in developing smooth muscle cells. J Histochem Cytochem. 1998; 46(1):119–126. [PubMed: 9405501]
- Winograd-Katz SE, Itzkovitz S, Kam Z, Geiger B. Multiparametric analysis of focal adhesion formation by RNAi-mediated gene knockdown. J Cell Biol. 2009; 186(3):423–436. [PubMed: 19667130]
- Wolfenson H, Henis YI, Geiger B, Bershadsky AD. The heel and toe of the cell's foot: a multifaceted approach for understanding the structure and dynamics of focal adhesions. Cell Motil Cytoskeleton. 2009a; 66(11):1017–1029. [PubMed: 19598236]
- Wolfenson H, Lubelski A, Regev T, Klafter J, Henis YI, Geiger B. A role for the juxtamembrane cytoplasm in the molecular dynamics of focal adhesions. PLoS One. 2009b; 4(1):e4304. [PubMed: 19172999]
- Yamada KM, Cukierman E. Modeling tissue morphogenesis and cancer in 3D. Cell. 2007; 130(4): 601–610. [PubMed: 17719539]
- Zaidel-Bar R, Ballestrem C, Kam Z, Geiger B. Early molecular events in the assembly of matrix adhesions at the leading edge of migrating cells. J Cell Sci. 2003; 116(Pt 22):4605–4613. [PubMed: 14576354]
- Zaidel-Bar R, Geiger B. The switchable integrin adhesome. J Cell Sci. 2010; 123(Pt 9):1385–1388. [PubMed: 20410370]
- Zaidel-Bar R, Itzkovitz S, Ma'ayan A, Iyengar R, Geiger B. Functional atlas of the integrin adhesome. Nat Cell Biol. 2007a; 9(8):858–867. [PubMed: 17671451]
- Zaidel-Bar R, Milo R, Kam Z, Geiger B. A paxillin tyrosine phosphorylation switch regulates the assembly and form of cell-matrix adhesions. J Cell Sci. 2007b; 120(Pt 1):137–148. [PubMed: 17164291]
- Zamir E, Geiger B, Kam Z. Quantitative multicolor compositional imaging resolves molecular domains in cell-matrix adhesions. PLoS ONE. 2008; 3(4):e1901. [PubMed: 18382676]
- Zamir E, Katz M, Posen Y, Erez N, Yamada KM, Katz BZ, Lin S, Lin DC, Bershadsky A, Kam Z, et al. Dynamics and segregation of cell-matrix adhesions in cultured fibroblasts. Nat Cell Biol. 2000; 2(4):191–196. [PubMed: 10783236]
- Zhong C, Chrzanowska-Wodnicka M, Brown J, Shaub A, Belkin AM, Burridge K. Rho-mediated contractility exposes a cryptic site in fibronectin and induces fibronectin matrix assembly. J Cell Biol. 1998; 141(2):539–551. [PubMed: 9548730]
- Zimmerman E, Geiger B, Addadi L. Initial stages of cell-matrix adhesion can be mediated and modulated by cell-surface hyaluronan. Biophys J. 2002; 82(4):1848–1857. [PubMed: 11916844]

Geiger and Yamada



#### Figure 1.

Schematic illustration highlighting the dynamic cross-talk between cells and the extracellular matrix (ECM). Cells secrete and remodel the ECM, and the ECM contributes to the assembly of individual cells into tissues, affecting this process at both receptor and cytoskeletal levels. Adhesion-mediated signaling, based on the cells' capacity to sense the chemical and physical properties of the matrix, affects both global cell physiology and local molecular scaffolding of the adhesion sites. The molecular interactions within the adhesion site stimulate, in turn, the signaling process, by clustering together the structural and signaling components of the adhesome.

Geiger and Yamada



#### Figure 2.

Differential effects of different matrices on fibroblast spreading and FA formation. This figure demonstrates morphological and molecular differences between integrin adhesions formed in response to adhesion to different ECM matrices. Vinculin (Vin)-labeled adhesions (green) are shown following adhesion of fibroblasts to fibronectin (FN) via the  $\alpha 5\beta 1$  integrin, or to vitronectin (VN) via the  $\alpha v\beta 3$  integrin. Notice that VN induces less cell spreading compared to cells adhering to FN, and that the distribution of FAs is largely peripheral. Images for this panel were provided by Baruch Zimerman.



#### Figure 3.

Immunofluorescence images of different types of cell adhesions. (A) Fibronectin (FN) fibrils in human foreskin fibroblasts, despite their capacity to induce FA formation, are generally excluded from bona fide FAs; vinculin (red) and FN (green). (B) In contrast, FN fibrils are primarily associated with tensin-rich fibrillar adhesions; tensin (green) and FN (red). (C-E) Major forms of integrin adhesions formed by cultured porcine aortic endothelial cells and some of their molecular characteristics, in an endothelial cell labeled for paxillin (C: green) and tyrosine-phosphorylated paxillin (D: pYpaxillin, red), and the merged image (E). In these images, three major forms of integrin adhesions are detected: dot-like focal complexes (FX) located primarily at the cell's leading edge, "classical" focal adhesions (FA), and fibrillar adhesions (FB) located near FAs but more towards the cell center, where FN fibrils are prominent. Interestingly, the three types of cell adhesion differ in their molecular properties: in FX, paxillin is highly phosphorylated (about 3-fold higher than in FAs), while no paxillin phosphorylation is detected along FB (see white arrowheads). Additional molecular differences include, for example, the absence of zyxin from FXs, and selective enrichment of  $\alpha$ 5 $\beta$ 1 and  $\alpha$ v $\beta$ 3 integrins in FB and FA, respectively. Panels F and G show another form of integrin adhesion, podosomes, formed in this case by cultured osteoclasts. Podosomes consist of a core bundle of actin filaments (Act, green), oriented perpendicular to the plasma membrane; they are surrounded by a membrane-associated "adhesion ring" containing typical FA plaque molecules, including paxillin (red). In osteoclasts, podosomes accumulate along the cell edge, forming a belt-shaped "sealing zone" important for the process of bone resorption. The area in panel F marked with the white rectangle is enlarged in G, highlighting the relationships between the actin core and the adhesion zone. Images for this figure were provided by Tova Volberg, Ronen Zaidel-Bar, and Chen Luxenburg.



#### Scaffolding Interactions

#### Figure 4.

The integrin adhesome network, depicting the scaffolding interactions (black arrows; for details, see http://www.adhesome.org). The adhesome components include membrane receptors (dark green rectangles), adaptor proteins (purple rectangles), actin-associated proteins (magenta ovals), tyrosine kinases (red diamonds) and phosphatases (blue diamonds), serine/ threonine kinases (red elongated hexagons) and phosphatases (blue elongated hexagons), G-proteins (orange ovals), GEFs (yellow diamonds), and GAPs (yellow elongated octagons). This diagram was prepared by Ronen Zaidel-Bar, based on Zaidel-Bar et al., 2007a and Zaidel-Bar and Geiger, 2010.



#### **Regulatory Interactions**

#### Figure 5.

The integrin adhesome network, depicting the regulatory (signaling) interactions: red arrows point to modifications such as phosphorylation and activation of Rho GTPases, and blue arrows indicate dephosphorylation, inactivation of Rho GTPases, and protein degradation (for details, see http://www.adhesome.org). The classes of molecules are indicated in the legend to Figure 4. This diagram was prepared by Ronen Zaidel-Bar, based on (Zaidel-Bar et al. 2007a; Zaidel-Bar and Geiger 2010).



#### Figure 6.

Different views of FA structure: (A) Chicken lens cells were cultured on a flat surface, processed for transmission electron microscopy, and sectioned perpendicular to the plane of the substrate. The image reveals multiple cytoskeletal filaments accumulating at the adhesion site. The apparent gap between the ventral cell membrane and the substrate (indicated by a "serum line") is approximately 10-15 nm. Bar = 1  $\mu$ m. Image provided by Ilana Sabanay. (B) Interference-reflection microscopy (IRM) of cell-matrix adhesions. This image shows cell adhesions of primary human fibroblasts, where FAs appear dark gray or black, and the less tightly adhering "close contacts" are light gray. Bar =  $10 \,\mu$ m. Image modified from (Akiyama et al. 1989). (C-F) Correlated microscopy, combining fluorescence microscopy (C) of FAs in fibroblasts expressing fluorescent paxillin to identify adhesion sites, and cryo-electron tomography (D-F). The inset in (C) shows a low-power image of the region bracketed on the fluorescence image. (D) A 10-nm slice through a cryo-electron tomogram of the focal adhesion indicated in the inset in (C), showing aligned actin filaments (white arrow), vesicle (black arrow), and the plasma membrane (white arrowhead). (E) Surface-rendering view of the focal adhesion site as seen from the direction of the substrate towards the cell. Actin is depicted in brown and membranes in blue; a large number of uniformly-oriented particles, probably adhesion-related and depicted in green, are located at the interface between the cytoskeletal bundle and the membrane, which are probably adhesion-related particles. (F) An enlarged view of an individual particle (diameter = 25 nm), and the associated filaments. Panels C-F are based on (Patla et al. 2010).