Prolonged Elevation of Insulin Content in the Unicellular Tetrahymena After Insulin Treatment: Induction of Insulin Production or Storage?
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In the unicellular organism, Tetrahymena, the first encounter with an exogeneously given hormone results in hormonal imprinting. This causes an increase of the binding capacity of receptors and the production of the appropriate hormone in the progeny generations of the treated cell. In the present experiments the quantity (using radioimmunoassay) and localization (using confocal laser scanning microscopy) of the immunologically insulin-like material (hereafter insulin) were studied for 10 days after 4 h or 24 h $10^{-6}$ M insulin treatment (hormonal imprinting). Forty-eight hours after both insulin treatments a high quantity of insulin was present in the cells. This value was also significantly increased after 96 h. After 8 days the difference to the control was significant only in the 24 h treated group. Confocal microscopy (using antibody to pig insulin) localized insulin in the cell body. The oral field contained extremely high quantities of the endogeneous hormone. Insulin treatment (after 48 and 96 h) caused an elevation of insulin content in general, and specific accumulation in the posterior sections of the cell body and the ciliary row contained more insulin than the control. This means that after insulin treatment the quantity of insulin increases for a lengthy time period which is followed by the expression of insulin in the peripheral region. Insulin contained by Tetrahymena 48 h after imprinting stimulated glucose uptake of rat diaphragm. Copyright © 1999 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
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INTRODUCTION

The unicellular Tetrahymena produces hormone receptors,1–5 hormones6–9 and second messengers10–13 characteristic of higher animals. These molecules are interrelated, and influence each other. The exogeneously given hormones of higher animals can be bound by the receptors of Tetrahymena causing second messenger activation and evoking a response by the cell. At the first encounter of the hormone and the appropriate receptor, a hormonal imprinting develops which specifies the receptor, usually increasing its binding capacity and amplifying the response of the cell.1,3,14–16 The effect of imprinting is transmitted to hundreds of progeny generations and helps the cells in the sophisticated recognition of molecules and in the differentiation between noxious and advantageous materials.

Insulin is one of the hormones produced by Tetrahymena,6 as well as being recognized by its receptors.17 Exogenously administered insulin promotes glucose uptake by the cell18 and increases its cell division capacity.19 This is similar to that seen in higher animals. Insulin was also found in the ciliary membrane of Tetrahymena.16 In an earlier experiment20 we determined the presence of
insulin after insulin treatment (imprinting) and a long-lasting (21 days, about 200 generations) increase was observed using an immunocytochemical method. However, we could not determine by this method the exact quantity of insulin and where the insulin became localized: i.e., is it present as a component in the plasma membrane, or is it accumulated in the body of the cell as a product? In the present experiments radioimmunossay and confocal microscopy were used for determining the exact quantity and localization of insulin after single short and long insulin treatments. The insulin-like effect of the content of the Tetrahymena was also studied.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells and Culturing

_Tetrahymena pyriformis_ GL strain was used in the logarithmic phase of growth. The cells were cultured at 28°C in tryptone medium (Sigma, St Louis, USA) containing 0.1 per cent yeast extract. The density of Tetrahymena cultures studied was 10⁶ cell ml⁻¹.

Treatment of Cultures and Collection of Samples

Cultures were treated with 10⁻⁶ M (0.144 IU ml⁻¹) insulin (Actrapid MC, Novo, Denmark) for 4 and 24 h. In the control groups the solvent of the hormone was added. After treatment the cells were transferred to fresh medium. Cells of each group were consecutively transferred into fresh medium on every second day. Samples were taken at 48 and 96 h, and 8 and 10 days after the insulin treatment. Cells and supernatant were separated by centrifugation. Subfractions containing the cells were sonicated (Vibra-Cell, Danbury, USA), 15 cycles per 3 ml sample (2 × 10⁶ cell ml⁻¹ in PBS and 20 mU aprotinin).

Determination of Insulin by Radioimmunoassay (RIA)

For the determination of insulin¹²⁵I-insulin RIA was used (Izinta, Hungary; RIA-kit for direct quantitative determination of human insulin). The standard was suitable for the determination of concentration ranges between 1.25 μU ml⁻¹ to 160 μU ml⁻¹, so we could measure only between these values. The measurements were repeated seven times (two parallels each). Estimation of significance was done using the Student’s t-test.

Immunocytochemical Localization of Insulin-like Material

Populations of Tetrahymena cultivated in the manner above were treated with 10⁻⁶ M insulin (Semilente MC, Novo, Copenhagen, Denmark) for 1 h or 24 h. The control Tetrahymena did not take up insulin. After washings, the cells were further cultivated for 10 days; at two, four and 10 days, samples of cells were fixed with 4 per cent paraformaldehyde solution (dissolved in pH 7.2 PBS) for 5 min, and then washed twice in wash buffer (0.1 per cent BSA; 20 mM Tris-HCl; 0.9 per cent NaCl; 0.05 per cent Tween 20; pH 8.2). To block non-specific binding of antibodies the cells were treated with blocking buffer (1 per cent BSA in PBS for 30 min at room temperature. Aliquots from cell suspensions (50 μl) were transferred into Eppendorf microfuge tubes, and 50 μl primary antibody (anti-pig insulin, developed in guinea pig; Sigma, St Louis, USA; dilution 1:200 in antibody buffer [1 per cent BSA in wash buffer]) was added for 45 min at room temperature. Negative controls were carried out with 50 μl PBS containing 10 mg ml⁻¹ BSA instead of primary antibody.

After washing four times with wash buffer to remove excess primary antibody the cells were incubated with secondary antibody (FITC-labelled monoclonal anti-guinea pig IgG developed in mouse; Sigma, St Louis, USA; dilution 1:50 with antibody buffer) for 30 min at room temperature. After washings with wash buffer four more times the cells were mounted onto microscopic slides. The labelled and mounted cells were analysed in a BioRad MRC 1024 confocal laser scanning microscope equipped with a krypton–argon mixed gas laser as a light source. Excitation was carried out with the 480 nm line from the laser.

Study of Glucose Uptake Under the Effect of the Tetrahymena Content

Cultures were treated with 10⁻⁶ M insulin (Actrapid MC, Novo, Denmark) for 60 min. After treatment the cells were transferred into flasks containing fresh culture medium. The cultures were maintained at 48 h at 28°C, then the cells were isolated from the cultures by centrifugation. The
procedure was the same for the controls, except for insulin treatment.

Female Wistar rats (about 250 g body weight, kept on normal rat chow ad libitum) were exsanguinated in light ether anaesthesia. Diaphragms were removed and rinsed in ice-cold Krebs–Ringer bicarbonate solution (KRB) and then dissected into two parts. Then, while in cooled tubes their weights were measured. KRB-glucose (3.0 ml) was added into incubation tubes (glucose concentration 500 mg l⁻¹) and into each tube a half diaphragm was placed. The diaphragms were gassed with carbogen at 4°C. Tetrahymena (0.5 ml) suspension (5 × 10⁵ cells) was then ultrasonicated in the presence of 100 mU aprotinin (Sigma, USA) + 10 µU Gordox (Richter, Budapest, Hungary) in KRB-glucose. The tubes were placed into a 37°C shaking thermostat and 1 kBq labelled glucose (D-[2-3H]/glucose; Amersham, Buckinghamshire, UK; 625 Gbq mmole⁻¹ diluted by distilled water) was added. Simultaneously 10 tubes were used and to ensure an equal gas flow, a peristaltic pump was employed. After 60 min the diaphragms were washed rapidly in ice-cold KRB and homogenised in 3.0 ml distilled water in an Ultra-Turrax homogeniser for 2 × 20 s. The suspension was added to Ultima-Gold cocktail (Packard, USA) and measured in a Beckman liquid-scintillation spectrometer. The half-diaphragms expressed to Tetrahymena homogenate were compared always with the other half of diaphragms in KRB-glucose. For statistical evaluation the Student t-test was used.

RESULTS

Insulin Radioimmunossay

The exact quantities of insulin were studied in Tetrahymena after short (4 h) and long (24 h) insulin treatment. In the control (untreated) cells a measurable quantity of insulin was present. At 48 h following the short treatment, an enormous quantity of insulin was detected in the cells. The measuring capacity of the RIA was limited (160 mU), however the cellular value was at the maximum possible level. After 96 h the quantity of insulin had fallen to half of the 48 h values, but this was still 12 × greater than the control. After eight days there was no difference compared with the control (Figure 1.)

Forty-eight hours after the long treatment an 11 × increase in the quantity of insulin was present in the treated cells compared with the control cells. After four days this difference was about 3 ×, while after 8 days a small, however still significant, elevation was observed. After 10 days there was no difference between the control and treated cells at all (Figure 2).

Figure 1. Quantity of RIA-detectable insulin in Tetrahymena homogenates of the control and 4 h insulin treated groups at different time points after treatment. C = control; I = insulin treated. Number 4 points to the duration of insulin treatment in hours.
Confocal Microscopy

In the control experiment, where first antibody (to insulin) was omitted, some bright patches were observed in the body of the cell. However, the periphery was absolutely free of fluorescence. Using both antibodies, more fluorescence was observed in the cell body and the periphery was bright, thus demonstrating the presence of insulin. In addition, the oral field also contained a high quantity of insulin (Figure 3). Two and four days after 4 h insulin treatment the quantity of insulin was increased. A higher quantity of insulin was attached to the nucleus and localized in the posterior part of the Tetrahymena as well as in the oral field (Figure 4). After 10 days this quantity was diminished in the main body of the organism but the intensity remained unchanged in the periphery of the cells (epiplasm and oral field).

Two and four days after 24 h treatment, an enormous quantity of insulin fluorescence was observed in the bottom of the cells, around the nucleus and in the periphery (Figure 5). After 10 days, the quantity of insulin in the body was reduced, in contrast to the periphery, where the fluorescence was still well expressed and where it appeared also in the ciliary rows.

Glucose Uptake by Diaphragm

The homogenates of control Tetrahymena cells did not influence significantly the glucose uptake of diaphragm, compared with the glucose uptake of untreated diaphragm. However, there was a significant increase in glucose uptake using homogenates originating from insulin treated Tetrahymena (Figure 6).

DISCUSSION

The results demonstrate that insulin treatment intensively and durably influences the RIA-detectable insulin content of Tetrahymena. The effect of short treatment is more intense; the effect of long treatment is more durable. However, in both cases, the quantity of insulin reached a peak and then gradually decreased in the progeny generations and the difference between the control and treated cells disappeared by the 10th day. So it is not possible to distinguish between what was due to imprinting and what to the presence and decomposition of the imprinter (insulin) in the cell.

In mammalian cells the receptor-bound insulin is internalized and digested in the endosomes. In this digestion, different protease enzymes take part. In the liver and some other organs, however, a specific insulin protease is present. Tetrahymena contain multiple forms of proteolytic enzymes, among which are different acid proteases, e.g. cathepsin B which can split insulin. These enzymes are also secreted into the extracellular medium without any modification. Protein digestion occurs in Tetrahymena over broad ranges of
pH, and with regard to protein digestion, the cell reacts in much the same way as mammalian cells. This allows us to suppose that insulin present in the medium can be digested both extra- and intracellularly. Two hours after insulin treatment in rat fibroblasts only about 30 per cent of the internalized hormone remains intact. It seems difficult to imagine therefore that after four or eight days those insulin molecules that were detected were those given during treatment, considering particularly that during the elapsed time the medium was changed many times and the 80th generation was studied in the 8th day. In addition, lower insulin concentrations were observed after long treatment, although this could provide a prolonged possibility of insulin uptake. This makes it likely that insulin production was induced by the imprinting; peaking 48 h after treatment and then decreasing. Nevertheless, in a previous experiment, immunocytochemistry demonstrated that insulin levels were elevated after 21 days with no gradual decrease, relative to the control. This controversy stimulated us to study the localization of insulin after insulin imprinting.

Figure 3. Confocal microscopic analysis. a = absolute control (without using first antibody) 1400×; b and c = control (untreated, 1800×) Tetrahymena. Arrowheads point to the peripheral area, which is free of fluorescence; arrows indicate oral field.
The confocal microscopic observations shed light on the differences between the present (RIA) and earlier (fluorimetric) experiments. Initially after insulin treatment (imprinting) the quantity of insulin increases inside the cells (cytoplasm and epiplasm). This is demonstrated by RIA as well as by cytological techniques. Later, when the insulin content (production and/or storage) of cells returns to normal levels, the elevated insulin concentration remains in the peripheral part of the cells (epiplasm, cilia). This differs from the controls and can be demonstrated only by cytological (fluorimetric and confocal) methods. This means that the elevation of insulin content in the cells after imprinting is shorter (four days after 4 h treatment and 8 days after 24 h treatment) than was believed earlier, however the enrichment of peripheral insulin by the imprinting seems to be correct. This insulin can be the hormone itself or a 62–67 kDa protein which is immunologically similar to insulin as demonstrated by Christopher and Sundermann.16 However, our experiments do not give any clear-cut answer on the question as to whether the higher insulin content of the cells was caused by induced insulin production or by the storage of insulin taken up from the environment.

The appearance of insulin in the oral region is interesting. The exogeneously given insulin is accumulated in the oral field as was demonstrated earlier.34 On the basis of the present experiment it cannot be decided, whether insulin produced or stored by the cell, or insulin secreted by the cell was bound to this region (autocrine regulation). At present, assumption of the binding of the exogenously given insulin is excluded, considering that a bright insulin fluorescence was also observed in the oral region of the control (untreated) cells.

The material which was immunologically related to insulin also influenced glucose uptake by rat diaphragm (Figure 6). In the experiments of LeRoith et al.,6 insulin produced by control (not insulin treated) Tetrahymena influenced the glucose uptake of adipose tissue. In our study diaphragm did not react significantly to the control Tetrahymena homogenate, however a significant elevation of glucose uptake was observed by treatment with the homogenate of Tetrahymena imprinted with insulin 48 h before. Comparing the sensitivity of the two methods (tissues), the difference is understandable. However, the sensitivity of the RIA for insulin is an order of magnitude greater than the in vivo bioassays35 and this can explain why the elevation of insulin

Figure 4. Confocal microscopic analysis of Tetrahymena treated with $10^{-6}$ M insulin for 4 h. a = after 48 h, 1600 x; b = after 96 h, 1800 x; c = after 10 days, 1800 x. Arrows point to the oral field.
Figure 5. Confocal microscopic analysis of Tetrahymena treated with $10^{-8}$ M insulin for 24 h. a = after 48 h, 1800×; b = after 96 h, 1800×; c and d = after 10 days, 1800×. C shows mid-region of the cell body; D shows the surface of the cell.
content was higher using RIA at 48 h than the effect on glucose uptake monitored in the less sensitive diaphragm assay.
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